

REMARKS

On an initial note, the Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for courtesies extended to the Applicants' representative at the personal interview conducted on February 8, 2006, and the agreement that the requested amendments to the claims define over the cited art. In accordance with the Examiner's request, the Applicants have amended Claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12 and 13, and have canceled Claims 2, 4, 7, and 9. Support for the amendments to Claims 1 and 3 can be found on page 12, lines 3-20 and in original Claims 2 and 4. Support for the amendments to Claims 6 and 8 can be found on page 12, lines 3-20, page 20, lines 16-35, and in original Claims 7 and 9. Support for the amendments to Claim 10 can be found in original Claim 6. Support for the amendments to Claims 11 and 12 can be found on page 12, lines 3-20. The Applicants have also amended the specification to correct some minor scribers errors. The Applicants submit that these minor amendments and corrections herein are made without prejudice as to patentability, including the doctrine of equivalents, and no new matter has been added.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, and 10-13 are not Anticipated

The Examiner rejected Claims 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sanford, U.S. Patent No. 6,536,039 ("Sanford"). The Applicants respectfully disagree. Sanford does not disclose, teach, or suggest each and every element as set forth in the claims. For example, Sanford does not disclose, teach, or suggest a first compact disc card updating means or method steps for initiating a determination of content of a remote compact disc card, comparing original content digital information on the compact disc card with updated information to look for actual changes to the original content digital information, collating the actual changes, compressing data associated with the actual changes, and creating an amendment file containing only differences between the original content digital information and the updated information as reflected by the actual changes. Sanford also does not disclose, teach, or suggest compact disc card updating means responsive to the first compact disc card updating means for updating the original content digital information with updated information created by storing the amendment file containing the differences in digital information between the new update information and the original content digital information in the memory of the at least one remote computer. Sanford further does not disclose, teach, or suggest graphical user interfacing means stored on the compact disc card and being independent of any graphical user interface otherwise

associated with the at least one remote computer for producing a graphical user interface to enhance update capabilities to a user of the compact disc card, nor graphical user interfacing means including interface displaying means for displaying a graphical user interface on the user display, user directing means for directing a user through a plurality of blocks for updating the stored digital information in the memory of the at least one remote computer so that the update appears to the user to be on the compact disc card, and update initiating means for initiating updating of the updated information from the first compact disc card updating means associated with the server. Sanford also does not disclose related method steps.

Nevertheless, in order to expedite issuance of a notice of allowance, the Applicants have amended the claims as requested by the Examiner to further overcome Sanford. Correspondingly, Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, and 10-13 are in allowable form.

In commenting upon the references and in order to facilitate a better understanding of the differences that are expressed in the claims, certain details of distinction between the references and the present invention have been mentioned, even though such differences do not appear in all of the claims. It is not intended by mentioning any such unclaimed distinctions or making any amendments herein to create any implied limitations in the claims. Not all of the distinctions between the cited patent documents and Applicants' present invention have been made by Applicants. For the foregoing reasons, Applicants reserve the right to submit additional evidence showing the distinctions between Applicants' invention to be novel and nonobvious in view of the cited patent documents.

The foregoing remarks are intended to assist the Examiner in re-examining the application and in the course of explanation may employ shortened or more specific or variant descriptions of some of the claim language. Such descriptions are not intended to limit the scope of the claims; the actual claim language should be considered in each case. Furthermore, the remarks are not to be considered to be exhaustive of the facets of the invention that render it patentable, being only examples of certain advantageous features and differences.


Applicants do not believe that there are any fees due, however, in the event additional fees are required, please charge any additional fees to Bracewell & Giuliani LLP Deposit Account No. 50-0259 (Order No. 024195.000004).

CONCLUSION

In view of the amendments and remarks set forth herein, Applicants respectfully submit that the application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the issuance of a Notice of Allowance in due course is respectfully requested.

Date: 3-8-06

Respectfully submitted,


Jeffrey S. Whittle,
Reg. No. 36,382
BRACEWELL & GIULIANI, L.L.P.
P.O. Box 61389
Houston, Texas 77208-1389
Telephone: (713) 221-1189
Facsimile: (713) 221-2141

1937117.2